arXiv:1702.04252v3 [math.CO] 10 Apr 2017

The Graovac-Pisanski Index of Zig-Zag Tubulenes and the Generalized Cut Method

Niko Tratnik

Faculty of Natural Sciences and Mathematics, University of Maribor, Slovenia
niko.tratnik@um.si

(April 11, 2017)

Abstract

The Graovac-Pisanski index, which is also called the modified Wiener index, was introduced in 1991 by A. Graovac and T. Pisanski. This variation of the classical Wiener index takes into account the symmetries of a graph. In 2016 M. Ghorbani and S. Klavžar calculated this index by using the cut method, which we generalize in this paper. Moreover, we prove that in some cases the automorphism group of a zig-zag tubulene is isomorphic to the direct product of a dihedral group and a cyclic group. Finally, the closed formulas for the Graovac-Pisanski index of zig-zag tubulenes are calculated.

Key words: Modified Wiener index; Graovac-Pisanski index; Zig-zag tubulene; Automorphism group; Cut method

1 Introduction

The Graovac-Pisanski index was introduced by A. Graovac and T. Pisanski in 1991 [11] under the name modified Wiener index. Unfortunately, the same name was later used for

different variations of the Wiener index [12, 16, 17]. As sugested by M. Ghorbani and S. Klavžar in [9], we use the name Graovac-Pisanski index. In [11] they applied the symmetry group of a graph to obtain an algebraic modification of the classical Wiener index, so beside distances in a graph this index also considers its symmetries. The automorphisms (symmetries) of a molecular graph represent the isomers of a corresponding molecule.

It was shown in [3] that the quotient of the Wiener index and the Graovac-Pisanski index is strongly correlated with the topological efficiency for some fullerene molecules. The topological efficiency was introduced in [6, 18] as a tool for the classification of the stability of molecules. It was also pointed out that electronic properties of carbon systems are deeply connected to the topology of their graphs (see book [5], pp. 3–21).

For a vertex-transitive graph the Graovac-Pisanski index coincides with the Wiener index. The previous work on the symmetries of different nanostructures can be found in [1,2,7,8] and particularly for the Graovac-Pisanski index in [4,14,15,20]. In addition, the cut method for this index was developed in [9], where it was proved that the computation of the Graovac-Pisanski index can be reduced to the computation of the Wiener indices of the appropriately weighted quotient graphs.

In the present paper we first generalize the cut method so that it is valid for any partition of the edge set which is coarser than Θ^*-partition. Next, the automorphisms for an important family of chemical graphs, the zig-zag tubulenes, are described and finally, the closed formulas for their Graovac-Pisanski index are calculated.

2 Preliminaries

Unless stated otherwise, the graphs considered in this paper are finite and connected. The *distance* $d_G(x, y)$ between vertices x and y of a graph G is the length of a shortest path between vertices u and v in G. We also write $d(x, y)$ for $d_G(x, y)$.

The *Wiener index* of a graph G is defined as $W(G) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{u \in V(G)} \sum_{v \in V(G)} d_G(u, v)$. Moreover, if $S \subseteq V(G)$, then $W(S) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{u \in S} \sum_{v \in S} d_G(u, v)$.

Now we extend the above definition to weighted graphs as follows. Let G be a connected graph and let $w : V(G) \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a given function. Then (G, w) is a *vertex-weighted*

graph. The *vertex-weighted Wiener index* of (G, w) is defined as

$$W(G, w) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{u \in V(G)} \sum_{v \in V(G)} w(u) w(v) d_G(u, v).$$

An *isomorphism of graphs* G and H with $|E(G)| = |E(H)|$ is a bijection f between the vertex sets of G and H, $f : V(G) \to V(H)$, such that for any two vertices u and v of G it holds that if u and v are adjacent in G then $f(u)$ and $f(v)$ are adjacent in H. When G and H are the same graph, the function f is called an *automorphism* of G. The composition of two automorphisms is another automorphism, and the set of automorphisms of a given graph G, under the composition operation, forms a group $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$, which is called the *automorphism group* of the graph G.

The Graovac-Pisanski index of a graph G, $\widehat{W}(G)$, is defined as

$$\widehat{W}(G) = \frac{|V(G)|}{2|\mathrm{Aut}(G)|} \sum_{u \in V(G)} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(G)} d_G(u, \alpha(u)).$$

Roughly speaking, the Graovac-Pisanski index measures how far the vertices of a graph are moved on the average by its automorphisms.

Next, we repeat some important concepts from group theory. If G is a group and X is a set, then a *group action* ϕ of G on X is a function $\phi : G \times X \to X$ that satisfies the following: $\phi(e, x) = x$ for any $x \in X$ (here, e is the neutral element of G) and $\phi(gh, x) = \phi(g, \phi(h, x))$ for all $g, h \in G$ and $x \in X$. The *orbit* of an element x in X is the set of elements in X to which x can be moved by the elements of G, i.e. the set $\{\phi(g, x) \,|\, g \in G\}$. If G is a graph and $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ the automorphism group, then $\phi : \mathrm{Aut}(G) \times V(G) \to V(G)$, defined by $\phi(\alpha, u) = \alpha(u)$ for any $\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(G)$, $u \in V(G)$, is called the *natural action* of the group $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ on $V(G)$.
It was shown in [11] that if $V_1, \ldots, V_t$ are the orbits under the natural action of the group $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ on $V(G)$, then

$$\widehat{W}(G) = |V(G)| \sum_{i=1}^{t} \frac{1}{|V_i|} W(V_i). \tag{1}$$

We also introduce $W'(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{t} W(V_i)$, which is the sum of the Wiener indices of orbits of G.

The *dihedral group* D_n is the group of symmetries of a regular polygon with n sides. Therefore, the group D_n has $2n$ elements. The *cyclic group* $\mathbb{Z}_n$ is a group that is generated

by a single element of order n. Given groups G and H, the *direct product* $G \times H$ is defined as follows. The underlying set is the Cartesian product $G \times H$ and the binary operation on $G \times H$ is defined component-wise: $(g_1, h_1)(g_2, h_2) = (g_1 g_2, h_1 h_2)$, $(g_1, h_1), (g_2, h_2) \in G \times H$.

If G and H are groups, then a *group isomorphism* is a bijective function $f : G \to H$ such that for all u and v in G it holds $f(uv) = f(u)f(v)$.

Two edges $e_1 = u_1v_1$ and $e_2 = u_2v_2$ of graph G are in relation Θ, $e_1 \Theta e_2$, if

$$d_G(u_1, u_2) + d_G(v_1, v_2) \neq d_G(u_1, v_2) + d_G(u_1, v_2).$$

Note that this relation is also known as Djoković-Winkler relation. The relation Θ is reflexive and symmetric, but not necessarily transitive. We denote its transitive closure (i.e. the smallest transitive relation containing Θ) by Θ^*. Let $\mathcal{E} = \{E_1, \ldots, E_r\}$ be the Θ^*-partition of the set $E(G)$. Then we say that a partition $\{F_1, \ldots, F_k\}$ of $E(G)$ is *coarser* than $\mathcal{E}$ if each set F_i is the union of one or more Θ^*-classes of G. The following lemma was proved in [10].

Lemma 2.1 *[10] Let E be a Θ^*-class of a connected graph G, and let $x, y \in V(G)$. If P is a shortest x, y-path and Q an arbitrary x, y-path, then $|E(Q) \cap E| \geq |E(P) \cap E|$.*

Suppose G is a graph and $F \subseteq E(G)$. The *quotient graph* G/F is a graph whose vertices are connected components of the graph $G - F$, such that two components C_1 and C_2 are adjacent in G/F if some vertex in C_1 is adjacent to a vertex of C_2 in G.

Finally, we will formally define open-ended carbon nanotubes, also called tubulenes (see [19]). Choose any lattice point in the hexagonal lattice as the origin O. Let $\overrightarrow{a_1}$ and $\overrightarrow{a_2}$ be the two basic lattice vectors. Choose a vector $\overrightarrow{OA} = n\overrightarrow{a_1} + m\overrightarrow{a_2}$ such that n and m are two integers and $|n| + |m| > 1$, $nm \neq -1$. Draw two straight lines L_1 and L_2 passing through O and A perpendicular to OA, respectively. By rolling up the hexagonal strip between L_1 and L_2 and gluing L_1 and L_2 such that A and O superimpose, we can obtain a hexagonal tessellation $\mathcal{HT}$ of the cylinder. L_1 and L_2 indicate the direction of the axis of the cylinder. Using the terminology of graph theory, a *tubulene* T is defined to be the finite graph induced by all the hexagons of $\mathcal{HT}$ that lie between c_1 and c_2, where c_1 and

c_2 are two vertex-disjoint cycles of $\mathcal{HT}$ encircling the axis of the cylinder. The vector $\overrightarrow{OA}$ is called the *chiral vector* of T and the cycles c_1 and c_2 are the two open-ends of T.

For any tubulene T, if its chiral vector is $n\overrightarrow{a_1} + m\overrightarrow{a_2}$, T will be called an (n, m)-type tubulene, see Figure 1. If T is a (n, m)-type tubulene where $n = 0$ or $m = 0$, we call it a *zig-zag tubulene*.



Figure 1. Zig-zag tubulene $ZT(3, 4)$, which is $(4, 0)$-type tubulene.

3 The generalized cut method

In this section the main result of paper [9] is generalized such that it is valid for any partition of the edge set which is coarser than Θ^*-partition. We start with the following definition.

Definition 3.1 *Let G be a connected graph and $\{F_1, \ldots, F_k\}$ a partition coarser than the Θ^*-partition. For any $x \in V(G)$ and $j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ we denote by $\ell_j(x)$ the connected component of the graph $G - F_j$ which contains x.*

The following lemma is crucial for the main result of this section. The ideas for the proof can be found inside the proof of Theorem 3.3 of [13]. For the sake of completeness we give the proof anyway.

Lemma 3.2 *[13] Let G be a connected graph. If $\{F_1, \ldots, F_k\}$ is a partition coarser than the Θ^*-partition, then for any $x, y \in V(G)$ it holds*

$$d_G(x,y) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} d_{G/F_j}(\ell_j(x), \ell_j(y)).$$

Proof. Let $x, y \in V(G)$ and let P be a shortest path between x and y. Since $\{F_1, \ldots, F_k\}$ is a partition of the edge set, we have

$$d_G(x,y) = |E(P)| = \sum_{j=1}^{k} |E(P) \cap F_j|.$$

Therefore, it suffices to show that $|E(P) \cap F_j| = d_{G/F_j}(\ell_j(x), \ell_j(y))$ for any $j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$. First suppose that $|E(P) \cap F_j| = r$. Obviously, a path of length r can be constructed in G/F_j between $\ell_j(x)$ and $\ell_j(y)$. Hence, $|E(P) \cap F_j| \geq d_{G/F_j}(\ell_j(x), \ell_j(y))$.
Finally, suppose that $d_{G/F_j}(\ell_j(x), \ell_j(y)) = r$ and let $\ell_j(x) = C_0, C_1, \ldots, C_{r-1}, C_r = \ell_j(y)$ be a shortest path in G/F_j between $\ell_j(x)$ and $\ell_j(y)$. Therefore, a path Q from x to y can be obtained such that $|E(Q) \cap F_j| = r$. Suppose that F_j is the union of Θ^*-classes $E_1, \ldots, E_s$. Since it follows from Lemma 2.1 that $|E(Q) \cap E_i| \geq |E(P) \cap E_i|$ for any $i \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$, we obtain $r = |E(Q) \cap F_j| \geq |E(P) \cap F_j|$ and therefore, we also have $|E(P) \cap F_j| \leq d_{G/F_j}(\ell_j(x), \ell_j(y))$. □

Now everything is prepared for the final result of this section.

Theorem 3.3 *Let G be a connected graph and let $V_1, \ldots, V_t$ be the orbits under the natural action of the group* Aut(G) *on $V(G)$. If $\{F_1, \ldots, F_k\}$ is a partition coarser than the Θ^*-partition, then*

$$\widehat{W}(G) = |V(G)| \sum_{i=1}^{t} \frac{1}{|V_i|} \sum_{j=1}^{k} W(G/F_j, w_{ij}),$$

where $w_{ij}(C) = |V_i \cap C|$ for any $i \in \{1, \ldots, t\}$, $j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, and $C \in V(G/F_j)$.

Proof. From Equation 1 and Lemma 3.2 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{W}(G) &= |V(G)| \sum_{i=1}^{t} \frac{1}{|V_i|} \sum_{\{x,y\}\subseteq V_i} d_G(x,y) \\
&= |V(G)| \sum_{i=1}^{t} \frac{1}{|V_i|} \sum_{\{x,y\}\subseteq V_i} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} d_{G/F_j}(\ell_j(x), \ell_j(y)) \right) \\
&= |V(G)| \sum_{i=1}^{t} \frac{1}{|V_i|} \left(\sum_{\{x,y\}\subseteq V_i} \sum_{j=1}^{k} d_{G/F_j}(\ell_j(x), \ell_j(y)) \right) \\
&= |V(G)| \sum_{i=1}^{t} \frac{1}{|V_i|} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{\{x,y\}\subseteq V_i} d_{G/F_j}(\ell_j(x), \ell_j(y)) \right) \\
&= |V(G)| \sum_{i=1}^{t} \frac{1}{|V_i|} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \left(\sum_{\{x,y\}\subseteq V_i} d_{G/F_j}(\ell_j(x), \ell_j(y)) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Obviously, for $C, D \in V(G/F_j), C \neq D$ it holds that the number of unordered pairs $\{x, y\} \subseteq V_i$ for which $x \in C$, $y \in D$ is exactly $w_{ij}(C)w_{ij}(D)$. Therefore, it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{W}(G) &= |V(G)| \sum_{i=1}^{t} \frac{1}{|V_i|} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \left(\sum_{\{C,D\}\subseteq V(G/F_j)} w_{ij}(C)w_{ij}(D)d_{G/F_j}(C,D) \right) \\
&= |V(G)| \sum_{i=1}^{t} \frac{1}{|V_i|} \sum_{j=1}^{k} W(G/F_j, w_{ij})
\end{aligned}$$

and the proof is complete. □

In the rest of this section we show with an example how Theorem 3.3 can be used. Let T be a tree from Figure 2.



Figure 2. Tree T.

It is easy to see that the natural action of the group $\mathrm{Aut}(T)$ on $V(T)$ has three orbits: $V_1 = \{v_1\}$, $V_2 = \{v_2, v_3, v_4\}$, and $V_3 = \{v_5, v_6, v_7, v_8, v_9, v_{10}\}$. Since in a tree every Θ^*-class

is a single edge, the sets $F_1 = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ and $F_2 = \{e_4, e_5, e_6, e_7, e_8, e_9\}$ form a partition coarser then Θ^*-partition. Hence we obtain 6 weighted quotient trees and all of them are stars. Figure 3 represents all the weighted quotient graphs with at least two vertices of weight different from 0. Therefore, using Theorem 3.3 we obtain

$$\widehat{W}(T) = W(T/F_1, w_{21}) + W(T/F_1, w_{31}) + W(T/F_2, w_{32}) = 6 + 24 + 30 = 60.$$



Figure 3. Weighted quotient graphs $(T/F_1, w_{21})$, $(T/F_1, w_{31})$, and $(T/F_2, w_{32})$.

4 Zig-zag tubulenes

Let T be a zig-zag tubulene such that c_1, c_2 are the shortest possible cycles encircling the axis of the cylinder (see Figure 1). If T has n layers of hexagons, each containing exactly h hexagons, then we denote it by $ZT(n, h)$. In this section we compute the Graovac-Pisanski index for $ZT(n, h)$. We always assume that $n \geq 1$ and $h \geq 2$. Moreover, let C_1 and C_2 be subgraphs of $ZT(n, h)$ induced by c_1 and c_2, respectively.

Obviously, $ZT(n, h)$ has $n + 1$ layers of vertices and every layer has two types of vertices, i.e. type 0 and type 1. The set of vertices of type k in layer i is denoted by V_i^k. Moreover, let the vertices in V_i^k be denoted as follows: $V_i^k = \{v_{i,0}^k, \ldots, v_{i,h-1}^k\}$. See Figure 4 for an example.

4.1 The automorphisms of zig-zag tubulenes

In this subsection we show that when n is odd, the automorphism group of the graph $ZT(n, h)$ is isomorphic to the direct product of the dihedral group D_h and the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_2$. Also, the orbits under the natural action are obtained. First, two lemmas are needed.

Lemma 4.1 *Let $\varphi : V(ZT(n, h)) \to V(ZT(n, h))$ be an automorphism. Then the graph induced on the vertices in the set $\varphi(V(C_1))$ is either C_1 or C_2.*



Figure 4. Zig-zag tubulene $ZT(3,4)$ with vertices in V_0^0, V_0^1, V_3^0, and V_3^1.

Proof. The graph $ZT(n,h)$ contains exactly two disjoint cycles of length $2h$ with exactly h vertices of degree 2 in the graph $ZT(n,h)$. These two are C_1 and C_2. Therefore, any automorphism maps C_1 to either C_1 or C_2 and the proof is complete. □

Lemma 4.2 *Let $\varphi : V(C_1) \to V(C_i)$ be an isomorphism between subgraphs C_1 and C_i, where $i \in \{1,2\}$. Then there is exactly one automorphism $\overline{\varphi} : V(ZT(n,h)) \to V(ZT(n,h))$ such that $\varphi(x) = \overline{\varphi}(x)$ for any $x \in V(C_1)$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi : V(C_1) \to V(C_i)$ be an isomorphism where $i \in \{1,2\}$. For any $x \in V(C_1) = V_0^0 \cup V_0^1$ we define $\overline{\varphi}(x) = \varphi(x)$. In the rest of the proof we will define function $\overline{\varphi}$ step by step such that every edge will be mapped to an edge and $\overline{\varphi}$ will be a bijection.

First let $x \in V_1^0$. Then there is exactly one $y \in V_0^1$ such that x and y are adjacent. Since the degree of y is 3, let y_1 and y_2 be the other two neighbours of y in $ZT(n,h)$. Obviously, $\overline{\varphi}(y), \overline{\varphi}(y_1)$, and $\overline{\varphi}(y_2)$ are already define and it holds that $\overline{\varphi}(y_1)$ and $\overline{\varphi}(y_2)$ are both adjacent to $\overline{\varphi}(y)$. Since the degree of $\overline{\varphi}(y)$ is 3, we define $\overline{\varphi}(x)$ to be the neighbour of $\overline{\varphi}(y)$, different from $\overline{\varphi}(y_1)$ and $\overline{\varphi}(y_2)$. This can be done for any $x \in V_1^0$.

Now let $x \in V_1^1$. Then there are exactly two vertices $y_1, y_2 \in V_1^0$ that are adjacent to x. It is easy to see that $\overline{\varphi}(y_1)$, $\overline{\varphi}(y_2)$ are already defined and that they have exactly one common neighbour. We define $\overline{\varphi}(x)$ to be the common neighbour of $\overline{\varphi}(y_1)$ and $\overline{\varphi}(y_2)$. This can be done for any $x \in V_1^1$.

With the procedure above we have defined function $\overline{\varphi}$ on the set of vertices $V_0^0 \cup V_0^1 \cup V_1^0 \cup V_1^1$ such that for any two adjacent vertices $x, y \in V_0^0 \cup V_0^1 \cup V_1^0 \cup V_1^1$, it holds that $\overline{\varphi}(x)$ and $\overline{\varphi}(y)$ are also adjacent. Using induction, we can define function $\overline{\varphi}$ on the set

$V(ZT(n,h))$ such that for any two adjacent vertices $x, y \in V(ZT(n,h))$ it holds that $\overline{\varphi}(x)$ and $\overline{\varphi}(y)$ are adjacent. Since $\overline{\varphi}$ is also bijective, it is an automorphism of the graph $ZT(n,h)$. It follows from the construction that $\overline{\varphi}$ is also unique. Therefore, the proof is complete. □

Theorem 4.3 *The automorphism group of the graph $ZT(n,h)$, where n is odd, is isomorphic to the direct product of the dihedral group D_h and the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_2$, i.e.*

$$\mathrm{Aut}(ZT(n,h)) \cong D_h \times \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

Proof. Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2 imply that the automorphism group of the graph $ZT(n,h)$ is uniquely defined by all the isomorphisms between the subgraph C_1 and C_i, where $i \in \{1,2\}$. The subgraph C_1 is a cycle of length $2h$, but any automorphism maps any vertex into a vertex of the same degree. Therefore, the automorphism group of the subgraph C_1 in isomorphic to the symmetric group of the h-gon, i.e. the dihedral group D_h.

For any isomorphism $\varphi : V(C_1) \to V(C_i)$ between subgraphs C_1 and C_i, $i = 1, 2$ we denote by $\overline{\varphi}$ uniquely defined automorphism of $ZT(n,h)$ obtained as in Lemma 4.2. Moreover, let $\alpha : V(C_1) \to V(C_2)$ be a fixed isomorphism, such that $\alpha(v_{0,j}^k) = v_{n,j}^{1-k}$. To prove the theorem, we define a function $f : D_h \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \mathrm{Aut}(ZT(n,h))$ as follows. For any $(\beta, k) \in D_h \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, $k \in \{0,1\}$, we define

$$f((\beta,k)) = \begin{cases} \overline{\beta}, & \text{if } k = 0 \\ \overline{\alpha} \circ \overline{\beta}, & \text{if } k = 1. \end{cases}$$

It is not difficult to check that f is a group isomorphism. Therefore, we are done. □

Finally, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 4.4 *The orbits under the natural action of the group $\mathrm{Aut}(ZT(n,h))$ on the set $V(ZT(n,h))$ are:*

1. *if n is odd*

$$O_i^0 = V_i^0 \cup V_{n-i}^1,\ i \in \left\{0, \ldots, \frac{n-1}{2}\right\},$$
$$O_i^1 = V_i^1 \cup V_{n-i}^0,\ i \in \left\{0, \ldots, \frac{n-1}{2}\right\}.$$

2. if n is even

$$O_i^0 = V_i^0 \cup V_{n-i}^1,\ i \in \left\{0, \ldots, \frac{n-2}{2}\right\},$$
$$O_i^1 = V_i^1 \cup V_{n-i}^0,\ i \in \left\{0, \ldots, \frac{n-2}{2}\right\},$$
$$O_{\frac{n}{2}} = V_{\frac{n}{2}}^0 \cup V_{\frac{n}{2}}^1.$$

Proof. It follows from the proof of Lemma 4.2 that for any vertex x of type k in layer i, where $i \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$, $k \in \{0, 1\}$, and any vertex y in layer i of type k or in layer $n-i$ of type $1-k$, there is an automorphism that maps x to y. Also, if x is in layer i and y is in layer j, $j \neq i, j \neq n-i$, the distance from x to C_1 or C_2, i.e. $\min\{d(x, C_1), d(x, C_2)\}$, can not be the same as the distance from y to C_1 or C_2, i.e. $\min\{d(y, C_1), d(y, C_2)\}$. Therefore, there is no automorphism that maps x to y. Moreover, the vertices in V_i^0 can not be mapped with vertices in the set V_i^1 or with the vertices in V_{n-i}^0, except when n is even and $i = \frac{n}{2}$. Therefore, the theorem follows. □

4.2 The Graovac-Pisanski index of zig-zag tubulenes

In this subsection we calculate the Graovac-Pisanski index of zig-zag tubulenes. We have to consider the following four cases. The first part is explained in details, while for the remaining cases only the important results are given. We always denote by u an arbitrary element of V_0^0 and by v an arbitrary element of V_0^1.

1. h is odd and n is odd

   It is enough to compute $W(O_0^0)$ and $W(O_0^1)$, since, for example, $W(O_1^0)$ of the graph $ZT(n, h)$ is exactly $W(O_0^0)$ of the graph $ZT(n-2, h)$ (the graph $ZT(n-2, h)$ is a convex subgraph of the graph $ZT(n, h)$). It is easy to see that $d(u, V_0^0) = d(v, V_0^1) = \frac{1}{2}(h^2 - 1)$. To compute $W(O_0^0)$ we consider two cases.

   (a) $h > n + 2$

   In this case, we can draw two lines a and b as shown in Figure 5.

   There are $n + 2$ vertices of V_n^1 between a and b (vertices $x_1, \ldots, x_5$ in Figure 5) and those vertices has distance $2n + 1$ from u. A shortest path from u to



Figure 5. Distances from u in $ZT(3,7)$. Lines L_1 and L_2 are joined.

any other vertex y of V_n^1 can be obtained by joining a shortest path from u to a vertex $x \in V_n^1$ (which is between a and b and it is the closest one to a or to b) and a shortest path from x to y. For example, in Figure 5, a shortest path from u to y_2 is composed of a shortest path form u to x_5 and a shortest path form x_5 to y_2. Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(u, V_n^1) &= (n+2)(2n+1) + 2\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{h-n-2}{2}} \Big(2n+1+2i\Big) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(h^2 + 2hn + n^2 + 2n). \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(u, O_0^0) &= d(u, V_0^0) + d(u, V_n^1) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(2h^2 + 2hn + n^2 + 2n - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, since any vertex in O_0^0 has equivalent position, one can easily deduce

$$\begin{aligned} W(O_0^0) &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot |O_0^0| \cdot d(u, O_0^0) \\ &= \frac{h}{2}(2h^2 + 2hn + n^2 + 2n - 1). \end{aligned}$$

(b) $h \leq n+2$

In this case, all h vertices of V_n^1 are at distance $2n+1$ from u (see Figure 5). Therefore,

$$d(u, V_n^1) = h(2n+1).$$

We also obtain

$$d(u, O_0^0) = d(u, V_0^0) + d(u, V_n^1) = \frac{1}{2}(h^2 + 4hn + 2h - 1)$$

and

$$W(O_0^0) = \frac{h}{2}(h^2 + 4hn + 2h - 1).$$

To compute $W(O_0^1)$ we also consider two cases.

(a) $h > n$

Similar as before, we can draw two lines a and b as shown in Figure 6.



Figure 6. Distances from v in $ZT(3,7)$. Lines L_1 and L_2 are joined.

There are n vertices of V_n^0 between a and b (vertices x_1, x_2, x_3 in Figure 6) and those vertices has distance $2n-1$ from v. A shortest path from v to any other vertex y of V_n^0 can be obtained by joining a shortest path from v to a vertex $x \in V_n^0$ (which is between a and b and it is the closest one to a or to b) and a shortest path from x to y. For example, in Figure 6, a shortest path from v to y_3 is composed of a shortest path form v to x_3 and a shortest path form x_3 to y_3. Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(v, V_n^0) &= n(2n-1) + 2\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{h-n}{2}} \Big(2n - 1 + 2i\Big) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(h^2 + 2hn + n^2 - 2n). \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(v, O_0^1) &= d(v, V_0^1) + d(u, V_n^0) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(2h^2 + 2hn + n^2 - 2n - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, since any vertex in O_0^1 has equivalent position, one can easily deduce

$$\begin{aligned} W(O_0^1) &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot |O_0^1| \cdot d(v, O_0^1) \\ &= \frac{h}{2}(2h^2 + 2hn + n^2 - 2n - 1). \end{aligned}$$

(b) $h \leq n$

In this case, all h vertices of V_n^0 are at distance $2n-1$ from v (see Figure 6). Therefore,

$$d(v, V_n^0) = h(2n-1).$$

We also obtain

$$d(v, O_0^1) = d(v, V_0^1) + d(v, V_n^0) = \frac{1}{2}(h^2 + 4hn - 2h - 1)$$

and

$$W(O_0^1) = \frac{h}{2}(h^2 + 4hn - 2h - 1).$$

Putting all the results together, we obtain Table 1.

	$h > n+2$	$h \leq n+2$
$d(u, V_0^0)$	$\frac{1}{2}(h^2-1)$	$\frac{1}{2}(h^2-1)$
$d(u, V_n^1)$	$\frac{1}{2}(h^2+2hn+n^2+2n)$	$h(2n+1)$
$d(u, O_0^0)$	$\frac{1}{2}(2h^2+2hn+n^2+2n-1)$	$\frac{1}{2}(h^2+4hn+2h-1)$
$W(O_0^0)$	$\frac{h}{2}(2h^2+2hn+n^2+2n-1)$	$\frac{h}{2}(h^2+4hn+2h-1)$
	$h > n$	$h \leq n$
$d(v, V_0^1)$	$\frac{1}{2}(h^2-1)$	$\frac{1}{2}(h^2-1)$
$d(v, V_n^0)$	$\frac{1}{2}(h^2+2hn+n^2-2n)$	$h(2n-1)$
$d(v, O_0^1)$	$\frac{1}{2}(2h^2+2hn+n^2-2n-1)$	$\frac{1}{2}(h^2+4hn-2h-1)$
$W(O_0^1)$	$\frac{h}{2}(2h^2+2hn+n^2-2n-1)$	$\frac{h}{2}(h^2+4hn-2h-1)$

Table 1. Distances in $ZT(n,h)$ with h odd and n odd.

To compute $\widehat{W}(ZT(n,h))$, we use Formula 1. First define the following functions from Table 1.

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(n) &= \tfrac{h}{2}(2h^2+2hn+n^2+2n-1) \\ f_2(n) &= \tfrac{h}{2}(h^2+4hn+2h-1) \\ g_1(n) &= \tfrac{h}{2}(2h^2+2hn+n^2-2n-1) \\ g_2(n) &= \tfrac{h}{2}(h^2+4hn-2h-1). \end{aligned}$$

As already mentioned, we notice that $W(O_i^0) = f_1(n-2i)$ if $n-2i < h-2$ and $W(O_i^0) = f_2(n-2i)$ if $n-2i \geq h-2$ (and similar can be done for $W(O_i^1)$). Now consider three cases.

(a) $n < h-2$

We obtain

$$W'(ZT(n,h)) = \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n+1}{2}} f_1(2i-1) + \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n+1}{2}} g_1(2i-1).$$

(b) $n = h-2$

We obtain

$$W'(ZT(n,h)) = \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} f_1(2i-1) + f_2(n) + \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n+1}{2}} g_1(2i-1).$$

(c) $n \geq h$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} W'(ZT(n,h)) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{h-3}{2}} f_1(2i-1) + \sum_{i=\frac{h-1}{2}}^{\frac{n+1}{2}} f_2(2i-1) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{h-1}{2}} g_1(2i-1) + \sum_{i=\frac{h+1}{2}}^{\frac{n+1}{2}} g_2(2i-1). \end{aligned}$$

To compute all the sums from the previous cases, we use a computer program. Since $|V(ZT(n,h))| = 2h(n+1)$ and the cardinality of any orbit of $ZT(n,h)$ is $2h$, it is easy to see that $\widehat{W}(ZT(n,h)) = (n+1)W'(ZT(n,h))$. The results are presented in the first part of Table 5.

2. h is even and n is odd

Important results for this case are shown in Table 2. Using these results, the closed formulas for the Graovac-Pisanski index are presented in the second part of Table 5. The details are similar to Case 1.

	$h > n+2$	$h \leq n+2$
$d(u, V_0^0)$	$\frac{h^2}{2}$	$\frac{h^2}{2}$
$d(u, V_n^1)$	$\frac{1}{2}(h^2+2hn+n^2+2n+1)$	$h(2n+1)$
$d(u, O_0^0)$	$\frac{1}{2}(2h^2+2hn+n^2+2n+1)$	$\frac{1}{2}(h^2+4hn+2h)$
$W(O_0^0)$	$\frac{h}{2}(2h^2+2hn+n^2+2n+1)$	$\frac{h}{2}(h^2+4hn+2h)$
	$h > n$	$h \leq n$
$d(v, V_0^1)$	$\frac{h^2}{2}$	$\frac{h^2}{2}$
$d(v, V_n^0)$	$\frac{1}{2}(h^2+2hn+n^2-2n+1)$	$h(2n-1)$
$d(v, O_0^1)$	$\frac{1}{2}(2h^2+2hn+n^2-2n+1)$	$\frac{1}{2}(h^2+4hn-2h)$
$W(O_0^1)$	$\frac{h}{2}(2h^2+2hn+n^2-2n+1)$	$\frac{h}{2}(h^2+4hn-2h)$

Table 2. Distances in $ZT(n,h)$ with h even and n odd.

3. h is odd and n is even

   Since the orbit $O_{\frac{n}{2}}$ is a cycle of length $2h$, it follows that $W(O_{\frac{n}{2}}) = h^3$. The other important results for this case are shown in Table 3. Using these results, the closed formulas for the Graovac-Pisanski index are presented in the third part of Table 5. The details are similar to Case 1.

	$h > n+2$	$h \leq n+2$
$d(u, V_0^0)$	$\frac{1}{2}(h^2-1)$	$\frac{1}{2}(h^2-1)$
$d(u, V_n^1)$	$\frac{1}{2}(h^2+2hn+n^2+2n+1)$	$h(2n+1)$
$d(u, O_0^0)$	$\frac{1}{2}(2h^2+2hn+n^2+2n)$	$\frac{1}{2}(h^2+4hn+2h-1)$
$W(O_0^0)$	$\frac{h}{2}(2h^2+2hn+n^2+2n)$	$\frac{h}{2}(h^2+4hn+2h-1)$
	$h > n$	$h \leq n$
$d(v, V_0^1)$	$\frac{1}{2}(h^2-1)$	$\frac{1}{2}(h^2-1)$
$d(v, V_n^0)$	$\frac{1}{2}(h^2+2hn+n^2-2n+1)$	$h(2n-1)$
$d(v, O_0^1)$	$\frac{1}{2}(2h^2+2hn+n^2-2n)$	$\frac{1}{2}(h^2+4hn-2h-1)$
$W(O_0^1)$	$\frac{h}{2}(2h^2+2hn+n^2-2n)$	$\frac{h}{2}(h^2+4hn-2h-1)$

Table 3. Distances in $ZT(n,h)$ with h odd and n even.

4. h is even and n is even

   Since the orbit $O_{\frac{n}{2}}$ is a cycle of length $2h$, it follows that $W(O_{\frac{n}{2}}) = h^3$. The other important results for this case are shown in Table 4. Using these results, the closed formulas for the Graovac-Pisanski index are presented in the last part of Table 5. The details are similar to Case 1.

	$h > n+2$	$h \leq n+2$
$d(u, V_0^0)$	$\frac{h^2}{2}$	$\frac{h^2}{2}$
$d(u, V_n^1)$	$\frac{1}{2}(h^2+2hn+n^2+2n)$	$h(2n+1)$
$d(u, O_0^0)$	$\frac{1}{2}(2h^2+2hn+n^2+2n)$	$\frac{1}{2}(h^2+4hn+2h)$
$W(O_0^0)$	$\frac{h}{2}(2h^2+2hn+n^2+2n)$	$\frac{h}{2}(h^2+4hn+2h)$
	$h > n$	$h \leq n$
$d(v, V_0^1)$	$\frac{h^2}{2}$	$\frac{h^2}{2}$
$d(v, V_n^0)$	$\frac{1}{2}(h^2+2hn+n^2-2n)$	$h(2n-1)$
$d(v, O_0^1)$	$\frac{1}{2}(2h^2+2hn+n^2-2n)$	$\frac{1}{2}(h^2+4hn-2h)$
$W(O_0^1)$	$\frac{h}{2}(2h^2+2hn+n^2-2n)$	$\frac{h}{2}(h^2+4hn-2h)$

Table 4. Distances in $ZT(n,h)$ with h even and n even.

Finally, the closed formulas for the Graovac-Pisanski index $\widehat{W}(ZT(n,h))$ are shown in Table 5. The results for some small cases are omitted.

h odd, n odd	
$n < h-2$	$\frac{h}{6}(n+1)^2(6h^2+3hn+3h+n^2+2n-3)$
$n = h-2, n \geq 3$	$\frac{h}{6}(n+1)(6h^2n+3h^2+3hn^2+12hn+9h+n^3-7n-3)$
$n \geq h, h \geq 5$	$\frac{h}{6}(n+1)(h^3+3h^2n+3h^2+6hn^2+12hn+5h-3n-3)$
h even, n odd	
$n < h-2$	$\frac{h}{6}(n+1)^2(6h^2+3hn+3h+n^2+2n+3)$
$n = h-1, n \geq 3$	$\frac{h}{6}(n+1)(6h^2n+3h^2+3hn^2+12hn+9h+n^3-n)$
$n \geq h, h \geq 4$	$\frac{h^2}{6}(n+1)(h^2+3hn+3h+6n^2+12n+8)$
h odd, n even	
$n < h-2$	$\frac{h}{6}(n+1)(6h^2n+6h^2+3hn^2+6hn+n^3+3n^2+2n)$
$n = h-1, n \geq 4$	$\frac{h}{6}(n+1)(6h^2n+3h^2+3hn^2+12hn+6h+n^3-4n-3)$
$n \geq h, h \geq 5$	$\frac{h}{6}(n+1)(h^3+3h^2n+3h^2+6hn^2+12hn+5h-3n-3)$
h even, n even	
$n < h-2$	$\frac{h}{6}(n+1)(6h^2n+6h^2+3hn^2+6hn+n^3+3n^2+2n)$
$n = h-2, n \geq 4$	$\frac{h}{6}(n+1)(6h^2n+3h^2+3hn^2+12hn+6h+n^3-4n)$
$n \geq h, h \geq 6$	$\frac{h^2}{6}(n+1)(h^2+3hn+3h+6n^2+12n+2)$

Table 5. Closed formulas for $\widehat{W}(ZT(n,h))$.

Acknowledgment

The author Niko Tratnik was financially supported by the Slovenian Research Agency.
The final publication is available at Springer via http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10910-017-0749-5

References

[1] A. R. Ashrafi, M. V. Diudea (Eds.), Distance, symmetry, and topology in carbon nanomaterials, Springer International Publishing, Switzerland (2016)

[2] A. R. Ashrafi, F. Koorepazan-Moftakhar, M. V. Diudea, Topological symmetry of nanostructures, Fuller. Nanotub. Car. N., 23, 989–1000 (2015)

[3] A. R. Ashrafi, F. Koorepazan-Moftakhar, M. V. Diudea, O. Ori, Graovac-Pisanski index of fullerenes and fullerene-like molecules, Fuller. Nanotub. Car. N., 24, 779–785 (2016)

[4] A. R. Ashrafi, H. Shabani, The modified Wiener index of some graph operations, Ars. Math. Contemp., 11, 277–284 (2016)

[5] S. C. Basak, G. Restrepo, J. L. Villaveces (Eds.), Advances in Mathematical Chemistry and Applications: Volume 2, Bentham Science Publishers (2015)

[6] F. Cataldo, O. Ori, S. Iglesias-Groth, Topological lattice descriptors of graphene sheets with fullerene-like nanostructures, Mol. Sim., 36, 341–353 (2010)

[7] M. Damnjanović, I. Milošević, T. Vuković, R. Sredanović, Symmetry and lattices of single-wall nanotubes, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen., 32, 4097–4104 (1999)

[8] M. Ghorbani, M. Hakimi-Nezhaad, An algebraic study of non classical fullerenes, Fuller. Nanotub. Car. N., 24, 385–390 (2016)

[9] M. Ghorbani, S. Klavžar, Modified Wiener index via canonical metric representation, and some fullerene patches, Ars. Math. Contemp., 11, 247–254 (2016)

[10] R. L. Graham, P. M. Winkler, On isometric embeddings of graphs, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 288, 527–536 (1985)

[11] A. Graovac, T. Pisanski, On the Wiener index of a graph, J. Math. Chem., 8, 53–62 (1991)

[12] I. Gutman, D. Vukičević, J. Žerovnik, A class of modified Wiener indices, Croat. Chem. Acta, 77, 103–109 (2004)

[13] S. Klavžar, M. J. Nadjafi-Arani, Wiener index in weighted graphs via unification of Θ^*-classes, European J. Combin., 36, 71–76 (2014)

[14] F. Koorepazan-Moftakhar, A. R. Ashrafi, Distance under symmetry, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem., 74, 259–272 (2015)

[15] F. Koorepazan-Moftakhar, A. R. Ashrafi, Z. Mehranian, Symmetry and PI polynomials of C_{50+10n} fullerenes, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem., 71, 425–436 (2014)

[16] M. Liu, B. Liu, A survey on recent results of variable Wiener index, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem., 69, 491–520 (2013)

[17] S. Nikolić, N. Trinajstić, M. Randić, Wiener index revisited, Chem. Phys. Lett., 333, 319–321 (2001)

[18] O. Ori, F. Cataldo, A. Graovac, Topological ranking of C_{28} fullerenes reactivity, Fuller. Nanotub. Car. N., 17, 308–323 (2009)

[19] H. Sachs, P. Hansen, M. Zheng, Kekulé count in tubular hydrocarbons, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem., 33, 169–241 (1996)

[20] H. Shabani, A. R. Ashrafi, Symmetry–moderated Wiener index, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem., 76, 3–18 (2016)